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#### Abstract

N\)-acylsultams 2 furnish, via aldolization of their enolates 16 with aldehydes, diastereomerically pure, crystalline syn aldols. The absolute configuration of the product is controlled by the choice of the enolate counterion: 16, $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{B} \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{3} ; \mathbf{1 6}, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Li}$ or $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{IV}) \rightarrow 5$. Hydroperoxide-assisted hydrolysis/esterification or reductive cleavage provided enantiomerically pure methoxycarbonyl aldols (12 and 13) or 1,3-diols (11) with recovery of auxiliary 1 . The chiral serricornin precursor 14 was thus prepared.


Asymmetric aldol reactions have attracted widespread interest over the past decade, promoting considerable gain in insight and methodology. ${ }^{1}$ Nevertheless, applications in synthesis would greatly benefit from the prospect of purifying the initially formed aldol products by crystallization. As a complement to a previous communication, ${ }^{2}$ this article reports the first example which meets this and other relevant criteria (accessibility, versatility of chiral auxiliary as well as yields, metal-directed diastereo- and $\pi$-face selectivities of reactions).

## Results

Sultam 1 (as well as its antipode readily available on a kg -scale ${ }^{3}$ ) were smoothly acylated with acylchlorides/ NaH to provide starting acylsultams 2.

Boron-Mediated Aldolizations. We first addressed the firmly established dibutylboryl enolate methodology. ${ }^{4}$ Treatment of acylsultams 2 with freshly prepared dibutylboryl triflate/ $\mathrm{EtN}(i \mathrm{Pr})_{2}$ ( 1.1 mol equiv) at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, followed by addition of an aldehyde $\mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{CHO}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ provided, on workup, syn aldols 3 (Scheme I, Table I entries 1, 2, 4, 6-9, 11, 12). Although major isomers $\mathbf{3}$ were usually isolated in good yields, conversions $\mathbf{2} \rightarrow$ 3 often remained incomplete. Employing an excess of $\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{BOTf} / \mathrm{EtN}(i \operatorname{Pr})_{2}$ resulted in lower stereoselectivities.
More conveniently and more efficiently, aldols $\mathbf{3}$ were obtained by using in situ prepared diethylboryl triflate $/ \mathrm{EtN}(i \operatorname{Pr})_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mol}$
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## Scbeme 1


equiv, entries $3,5,10$ ) following a protocol described for $N$ acyloxazolidinone/azetidinone aldolizations. ${ }^{5}$ HPLC analysis of the crude products 3 showed (independent of the boryl triflate) very high diastereomeric purity which was increased to virtually

[^1]Table I. Boron-Mediated Asymmetric Aldolizations: $2 \boldsymbol{3}$

|  |  | sultam aldehyde boron-subst. |  |  | product ratio$3 / 5 / 4+6$ | major prod. | yield (\%) $\mathrm{FC}^{\text {b }}$ | yield (\%) cryst. | de (\%) cryst. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | R |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | a | Me | Ph | Bu | 99:1:0 | 3a |  | 80 | >99 |
| 2 | b | Me | Me | Bu | >99:<1:0 | 3b | 78 | 69 | >99 |
| 3 | c | Me | Et | Et | 98:2:0 | 3c | 83 | 80 | >99 |
| 4 | d | Me | ${ }_{i} \mathrm{Pr}$ | Bu | 97:3:0 | 3d | 73 | 71 | >99 |
| 5 | d | Me | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | Et | 97.3:2.7:0 | 3d | 82 | 76 | >99 |
| 6 | e | Me | $\mathrm{MeCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ | Bu | >98:<2:0 | 3 e | 59 | 54 | >99 |
| 7 | f | Me | $p-\mathrm{MeOPh}$ | Bu | 97.5:2.5:0 | 3 f |  | 48 | >99 |
| 8 | g | Et | Ph | Bu | 97.5:0:2.5:0 | 3g |  | 70 | >99 |
| 9 | h | Et | Me | Bu | 94.2:2.3:3.5:0 | 3h | 73 | 65 | >99 |
| 10 | h | Et | Me | Et | 96:1.5:2.5:0 | 3h | 87 | 82 | >99 |
| 11 | i | Et | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | Bu | 98.9:1.1:0 | 3 i | 80 | 66 | >99 |
| 12 | j | $n \mathrm{Bu}$ | Ph | Bu | $>98:<1:<1$ | 3 i |  | 64 | $>99$ |

${ }^{a}$ The anti products were generally not assigned either structure 4 or 6 except product $6 a$ which was compared with an authentic sample. ${ }^{12}$ b $\mathrm{FC}=$ flash chromatography.

Table 1I. Li(1)- or $\operatorname{Sn}(\mathrm{IV})$-Mediated Asymmetric Aldolizations: $2 \rightarrow 5$

|  |  | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | metal | product ratio ${ }^{\text {a }} 3 / 5 / 4+6$ | major prod. | yield (\%) cryst. | de (\%) cryst. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | a | Me | Ph | Li(I) | 10:75.7:9.1:5.2 | 5 a | 55 | $98^{\text {b }}$ |
| 14 | a | Me | Ph | Sn(IV) | 7.4:85.2:0:7.4 | 5 a | 67 | >99 |
| 15 | d | Me | ${ }^{\text {Pr }}$ | Li(1) | 15:76.3:8.6 | 5d |  |  |
| 16 | d | Me | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | Sn (IV) | 12.6:84.8:2.5 | 5d | 44 | >99 |
| 17 | k | Me | $n \mathrm{Pr}$ | Sn(IV) | 21:79:0 | 5k | $53^{\text {c }}$ | >95 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 18 | e | Me | $\mathrm{MeCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ | Sn (IV) | 5:64.5:30 | 5 e | 44 | >99 |
| 19 | g | Et | Ph | Li(I) | 6.6:87.8:5.6 | 5 g | 59 | >99 |
| 20 | g | Et | Ph | Sn(IV) | 10.2:80.5:9.2 | 5 g | 64 | >99 |
| 21 | i | Et | ${ }_{i} \mathrm{Pr}$ | Sn(IV) | 0:82.2:16.7 | 5 i | 47 | >99 |
| 22 | 1 | Et | $\mathrm{MeCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ | Sn (IV) | 2:66.2:31.7 | 51 | 31 | >99 |

${ }^{a}$ The minor isomer observed in entry 17 was tentatively assigned. ${ }^{b}$ By ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. ${ }^{c}$ Oil purified by flash chromatography (FC).
$100 \%$ de by flash chromatography (FC) and crystallization (entries 2-7, 9-11) or simply by direct crystallization (entries 1, 8, 12). Aldols 3 were further characterized as their crystalline $O$-tertbutyldimethylsilyl (TBDMS derivatives 7 ( $100 \%$ de by GC).
$\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{I})$ - or $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{IV})$-Mediated Aldolizations. Counterion effects on the $\pi$-face discrimination and diastereoselectivity of aldolizations have been amply described. ${ }^{6-10}$ To explore the role of the enolate counterion, propionylsultam $2 \mathbf{2}$ was successively treated with LICA $\left(-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right),{ }^{11}$ an (alkyl)metal halide $\left(\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2},{ }^{6} \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}\right.$, $\mathrm{EtAlCl}_{2},{ }^{7} \mathrm{CeCl}_{3},{ }^{8} \mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrCl}_{2},{ }^{9}$ and $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl}^{6}{ }^{6}-78$ to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and benzaldehyde $\left(-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. HPLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analyses of the crude products formed from the $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{III}), \mathrm{Zn}$ (II), and Ce (III) enolates revealed low stereoselectivities resulting in mixtures of aldols 3a-6a; attempted $\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{IV})$ - or $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{II})^{10}$-mediated aldolizations failed to give discernible products (Table V, Experimental Section). As a notable exception, syn aldol $5 a$ was obtained in reasonably high selectivity via the $\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{I})$ or $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}$ enolate.

Recently, a reversed sense of induction was also observed on aldolizations of boron versus lithium, zinc, and $\operatorname{tin}($ IV ) enolates derived from $\alpha$-silyloxyketones, ${ }^{6 \mathrm{a}} \alpha$-haloacetyloxazolidinones, ${ }^{6 \mathrm{~b}}$ and acylthiazolidinethiones. ${ }^{6 c}$ The analogous reversal of topicity found with $\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\operatorname{Sn}(\mathrm{IV})$ enolates derived from sultams 2 is even more remarkable since the major syn aldols 5 were easily purified by FC and crystallization as summarized in Table II.
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## Scheme 11



Thus, kinetically controlled deprotonation of propionylsultam 2a with $n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi},{ }^{11}$ followed by treatment of the resulting lithium enolate with benzaldehyde at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, afforded pure syn aldol $5 \mathrm{5a}$ ( $55 \%$ yield, $98 \%$ de, entry 13 ) with configurations at $\mathrm{C}(2)$ and $\mathrm{C}(3)$ opposite to those of 3 a .

Transmetalation of the lithiated sultam $\mathbf{2 a}$ with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl}(1.2$ mol equiv, $\left.-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}\right)$, addition of benzaldehyde $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, workup, FC, and crystallization provided aIdol 5 a in $67 \%$ yield and in $>99 \%$ de (Table II, entry 14). Further examination of Table II shows that pure aldols 5 were generally obtained in somewhat higher yields by using the $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{IV})$ versus $\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{I})$ methodology (cf., entries $13 / 14,15 / 16$, and $19 / 20$ ). Only in aldolizations involving ( $E$ )-crotonaldehyde did formation of anti products become seriously competitive (entries 18 and 22). Nevertheless, purification by FC/crystallization was so efficient that in all but one case ( $\mathbf{5 k}$, entry 17 , oil, $>95 \%$ de) aldols 5 were obtained in virtually $100 \%$ de (in $31-67 \%$ yield).

Stereochemical Assignment and Nondestructive Cleavage of the Aldol Products. Product ratios 3/4/5/6 followed directly from a comparison with independently prepared mixtures of aldols 3-6

Table III. Hydroperoxide-Assisted Saponification/Esterifications $3 \rightarrow 1+12$ and $5 \rightarrow \mathbf{1 + 1 3}$

| $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | sultam aldol | sultam 1 yield (\%) | methoxycarbonyl aldol |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | product | yield (\%) | config. | $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ (lit. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ref) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Me | Ph | 3a | 91 | 12a | 83 | ( $2 R, 3 R$ ) | $+23.5^{\circ}$ | $+23.2^{\circ}$ (4b) |
| Me | Me | 3b | 95 | 12b | 71 | ( $2 R, 3 S$ ) | $-13.5{ }^{\circ}$ | $+14.3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{b}$ (21a) |
| Me | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 3d | 90 | 12d | 84 | ( $2 R, 3 S$ ) | $+7.5{ }^{\circ}$ | $+7.7^{\circ}(4 \mathrm{~b})$ |
| Et | Ph | 3g | 89 | 12g | 76 | ( $2 R, 3 R$ ) | $+13.5{ }^{\circ}$ | $+12.0^{\circ}(6 \mathrm{c})$ |
| Me | Ph | 5 a | 92 | 13a | 76 | ( $2 S, 3 S$ ) | $-20.8^{\circ}$ |  |
| Me | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 5d | 91 | 13d | 91 | ( $2 S, 3 R$ ) | $-7.1^{\circ}$ |  |
| Me | ${ }_{n} \mathrm{Pr}$ | 5k | 87 | 13k | 84 | ( $2 S, 3 R$ ) | $+12.1{ }^{\circ}$ |  |
| Me | $\mathrm{MeCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ | 5 e | 91 | 13e | 86 | ( $2 S, 3 R$ ) | $+11.5^{\circ}$ |  |
| Et | Ph | 5 g | 94 | 13g | 83 | $(2 S, 3 S)$ | $-13.0^{\circ}$ |  |
| Et | $i \operatorname{Pr}$ | 5 i | 93 | 13 i | 92 | ( $2 S, 3 R$ ) | $-7.4^{\circ}$ | $+7.6^{\circ}{ }^{\text {( }}$ (6c) |
| Et | $\mathrm{MeCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ | 51 | 94 | 131 | 52 | ( $2 S, 3 R$ ) | $-7.1^{\circ}$ |  |

${ }^{a}$ In $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ except $\mathbf{1 2 b}$, measured in $\mathrm{MeOH} .{ }^{b}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ reported for the antipode.

Table IV. Reductive Cleavage $\mathbf{3} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow}+\mathbf{1 1}$

|  |  |  |  | diol 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | sultam 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ (lit. |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | yield (\%) | yield (\%) | config | $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ | ref) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a | Me | Ph | 88 | 75 | $(2 S, 3 R)$ | $+57.8^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b | Me | Me | 90 | 79 | $(2 S, 3 S)$ | $+6.0^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| d | Me | $i \mathrm{Pr}$ | 93 | 91 | $(2 S, 3 S)$ | $+9.2^{\circ}$ | $+11.3^{\circ}(21 \mathrm{~b})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(HPLC, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) and of their TBDMS ethers 7-10 (GC, cf., Experimental Section). The major products 3 (Table I) or 5 (Table 11) were easily assigned the syn configuration based on the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR vicinal coupling constants $J(2,3)=2-4 \mathrm{~Hz}(3)$ and $4.0-6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}(5)$ as well as the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals corresponding to $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}: \delta=10.8-12 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{3 f}, \mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 d}, \mathbf{5 f}, \mathbf{5 g}, \mathbf{5 k}){ }^{16} \mathrm{In}$ comparison, anti aldol $6 a^{12}$ exhibited ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR values of $J(2,3)=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{R}^{\prime}}=\mathrm{Me}=14.8 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively.

Mild hydroperoxide-assisted saponification ${ }^{13}$ of sultam aldols 3 or 5 gave recovered sultam 1 ( $89-95 \%$ ) and, after treatment of the resulting carboxylic acids with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, the corresponding, enantiomerically pure syn methoxycarbonylaldols 12 or 13 ( $56-93 \%$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $J(2,3)=3.5-5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Scheme II, Table III).
The absolute configurations of $\mathbf{1 2 a}, \mathbf{1 2 b}, 12 \mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{~g}, 13 \mathrm{a}$, and 13 i were determined by comparing their optical rotations with reference values.

Alternatively, reductive cleavage of aldol products $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{3 b}$, and 3d with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ gave recovered auxiliary 1 as well as enantiomerically pure 1,3-diols 11a, 11b, and 11d, respectively (Table IV).

Illustrating the preparative value of this method, silyl ether 7c was cleaved with DIBAL-H to provide alcohol 14, a precursor for the synthesis of the cigarette beetle pheromone serricornin ${ }^{14}$ (15, Scheme III).

Stereochemical Rationalization: Dichotomy between Boron- and Lithium- or Tin(IV)-Mediated Aldolizations. Enolate Configurations. Treating acylsultams 2 with a dialkylboryl triflate/ N $(i \operatorname{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ apparently gave boryI enolates $16, \mathrm{ML}_{n}=\mathrm{BR}_{2}$ (Scheme IV), assigned the $Z$ configuration based on generally accepted arguments..$^{1.5}$ An ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR study indicates the formation of a single boryl enolate from propionylsultam $\mathbf{2 a}$.

Lithium enolates $16, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Li}$, generated by deprotonation of acylsultams 2 with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$, were assigned the $Z$ configuration via correlation of $\mathbf{1 6 g}, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Li}$, with the corresponding ( $Z$ )-O-piva-loyl- $N, O$-ketene acetal. ${ }^{15}$ Transmetalation 16, $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Li} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 6}$, $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{SnBu}_{3}$, is assumed to retain the stereochemical integrity. All three enolates 16, $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Li}$ and $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{IV})$ seem to be in equilibrium between the electrostatically favored $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{SO}_{2} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OML}_{n}$

[^3]Scheme 111


Scheme 1V

s-trans conformation $16^{1}$ and the chelate-enforced s-cis conformation $16^{11}$. ${ }^{16}$

Aldolization. The rate-determining step $16 \rightarrow 3$ or $16 \rightarrow 5$ most plausibly proceeds via Zimmerman/Traxler-type transition states implying a coordination of aldehyde $\mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{CHO}$ with the enolate counterion. ${ }^{1}$ Since the maximal coordination number of dialkylboron(III) is four, it cannot simultaneously bind three oxygen atoms (enolate, aldehyde, $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ group) in contrast to $\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}$ (IV) which possess higher coordination potentials. Thus (regardless of the equilibrium position $16^{1} \rightleftharpoons 16^{11}$ ), the observed topicities conform with transition state I for the boron-mediated aldolization and chelated transition state II for the $\mathrm{Li}(\mathbf{I})$ - and $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{IV})$-mediated reaction. ${ }^{6}$ Both transition states account for a selective aldehyde approach from the bottom face of 16 , opposite to the lone electron pair on the nitrogen atom, in analogy to other electrophiles (iminium salt, ${ }^{17}$ primary alkyl halides, ${ }^{15 \mathrm{~b}}$ NBS, ${ }^{18}$ etc.).

## Conclusion

This work exemplifies once more the general applicability of sultam 1 (and its antipode) as a practical chiral auxiliary. ${ }^{19}$ Its use in the preparation of enantiomerically pure syn aldols compares very favorably with other methods. The potential of this chirophore in asymmetric synthesis, e.g., of anti aldols, ${ }^{12}$ is being further explored.

## Experimental Section

General Methods. All reactions were carried out under Ar or $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ with magnetic stirring unless otherwise specified. Solvents were dried by distillation from drying reagents as follows: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CaH}_{2}\right), \mathrm{THF}(\mathrm{Na})$, toluene ( K ), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Na}), \mathrm{DMF}\left(\mathrm{CaH}_{2}\right)$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}\left(\mathrm{MgOMe}_{2}\right)$. Dibutylboron triflate was prepared according to the method of Mukaiyama and stored in a Schlenk tube under nitrogen. ${ }^{20}$

Column flash chromatography (FC): $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (Merck 9385). HPLC: Waters ALC GPCC- 244 (Li Chrosorb, Si60 $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), hexane/EtOAc 4:1, $5: 1$, or $6: 1,1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$, unless otherwise stated, retention time in minutes (area \%). GC: Hewlett Packard 5790A, integrator HP3390, capillary column (fused silica, 0.2 mm i.d., 12 m ). OV-1, 10 psi $\mathrm{H}_{2} ; \mathrm{A}, 160^{\circ}, 10$ $\min \rightarrow 10^{\circ} / \mathrm{min} \rightarrow 270^{\circ} ;$ B, $100^{\circ}$, isotherm; C, $125^{\circ}, \rightarrow 5^{\circ} / \mathrm{min} \rightarrow$ $250^{\circ}$; D, $150^{\circ}, 5 \mathrm{~min}, 10^{\circ} / \mathrm{min} \rightarrow 260^{\circ}$; E, $200^{\circ}, 10 \mathrm{~min}, \rightarrow 10^{\circ} / \mathrm{min}$ $\rightarrow 270^{\circ}$; retention time in min (area \%). Mp: Kofler hot stage apparatus, uncorrected. [ $\alpha]$ : Perkin-Elmer-241 polarimeter; in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at 20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, unless otherwise stated. IR: Mattson Instruments Polaris, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ unless otherwise stated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at 360 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ unless otherwise stated; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR at 50 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ unless otherwise stated; standard tetramethylisiane ( $\delta=0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $J$ in Hz . MS: $m / z$ (rel intensity \%).
$\boldsymbol{N}$-Acylsultams. General Procedure. A solution of ( $2 R$ )-bornane10,2 -sultam $1^{3}(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 9.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 40 mL ) was added dropwise to a suspension of NaH ( $606 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, 13.9 mmol ) in toluene ( 10 mL ), and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. Addition of freshly distilled acid chloride ( 13.9 mmol ) in toluene ( 40 mL ), stirring for 2 h , followed by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, separation of the toluene layer, extraction of the aqueous phase ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), drying of the combined organic layers $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, evaporation and crystallization gave the respective $N$-acylsultam.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-Propionylbornane-10,2-sultam (2a). Colorless crystals from MeOH (2.19 g. 87\%); GC(A) $9.19 ; \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{153-154}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-108.38^{\circ}(c 2.65)$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-Butanoylbornane-10,2-sultam ( $\mathbf{2 g}$ ). Colorless crystals from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexane, $2.18 \mathrm{~g}(82 \%) ; \mathrm{GC}(\mathrm{A}) 11.89 ; \mathrm{mp} 86-87{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $-96.0^{\circ}$ ( $c 0.84$ ).
$\boldsymbol{N}$-Hexanoylbornane-10,2-sultam (2j). Oil, FC (hexane/ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 1:1), $2.86 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%) ; \mathrm{GC}(\mathrm{A}) 15.36 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-84.87^{\circ}$ ( c 1.33).

Aldol Reactions of $\boldsymbol{N}$-Acylsultams 2 via Their Dialkylboron Enolates. Using Freshly Distilled Dibutylboryl Triflate. $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{BOTf}$ ( 1.0 N in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1.1$ mol equiv) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of the appropriate $N$-acylsultam 2 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , slow addition of $(i \mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{EtN}(1.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ equiv) and further stirring for 30 min at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $-3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Addition of freshly distilled aldehyde ( 5.0 mol equiv),

[^4]stirring for 15 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenching with aqueous phosphate buffer ( $\mathrm{pH}=7,0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), extraction $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ followed by washing of the combined organic extracts (saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ), drying ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and evaporation gave the crude product which was subjected to HPLC analysis. Purification by FC (hexane/EtOAc 4:1) and/or crystallization furnished the pure ( $2 R$ )-aldol diastereoisomer 3.

Using in Situ Prepared Diethylboryl Triflate. $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}(3.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a 1 M solution of $\mathrm{BEt}_{3}$ (hexane, 3.7 mmol ) at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (until gas evolution has ceased). After successive addition of acylsultam 2 ( 1.85 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NEt}(i \mathrm{Pr})_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$, stirring at -5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , addition of the corresponding aldehyde at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 75 min and workup, the reaction mixture was analyzed and purified as described above.
$\boldsymbol{O}$-TBDMS Derivatives 7. 2,6 -Lutidine ( 2.0 mol equiv) followed by tert-butyldimethylsilyltriflate ( 1.5 mol equiv) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of $3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mL}\right)$. After stirring ( $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature, 10 min ), saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added, and the aqueous phase was extracted $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$. Drying $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, evaporation, and crystallization gave 7.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[(2R,3R)-2-Methyl-3-hydroxy-3-phenylpropanoyl]bornane-10,2sultam (3a). Using Freshly Distilled Bu $\mathrm{z}_{2}$ BOTf. Propionylsultam 2a (97 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzaldehyde ( $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave a crude reaction mixture, HPLC (4:1) 7.25 (8), 12.4 (88), 15.2 ( 0.9 ), which was crystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexane to provide pure aldol 3 a ( 107 mg , $80 \%$ ): HPLC (4:1) $12.39 ; \mathrm{mp} 191-192{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-98.5^{\circ}(c 0.65)$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[(2R-3S)-3-Hydroxy-2-methylbutanoyl]bornane-10,2-sultam (3b). Using Freshly Distilled Bu BOTf. Propionylsultam 2a ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37$ mmol ) and acetaldehyde gave a crude reaction mixture: HPLC (4:1, 1.5 $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ ) 16.7 (96). FC (hexane/EtOAc 3:1) and crystallization ( MeOH ) provided pure aldol 3b ( $79.8 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ): HPLC ( $4: 1,1.5$ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}\right) 14.73 ; \mathrm{mp} 96-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-84.9^{\circ}(c 0.67)$. O-TBDMS derivative 7b: crystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH}, 73 \%$; GC(A) 19.39; mp 127-130 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[(2R,3S)-3-Hydroxy-2-methylpentanoyl]bornane-10,2-sultam (3c). Using in Situ Prepared Et $_{2}$ BOTf. Propionylsultam 2a ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.85$ mmol ) and propanal gave a crude reaction mixture: HPLC (6:1) 9.6 (4.3), 22.5 (82.8), 29.4 (1.8). FC (hexane/EtOAc 4:1) and crystallization from methanol provided pure aldol 3 c ( $485 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ): mp 120-121 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC (6:1) $23.2 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-99.3^{\circ}(c 1.06), O$-TBDMS derivative 7c: crystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH}, 95 \%$; GC(D) 15.48 ; mp $131-133^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$N$-[(2R,3S)-3-Hydroxy-2,4-dimethylpentanoyl]bornane-10,2-sultam (3d). Using Freshly Distilled $\mathrm{Bu}_{2}$ BOTf. Propionylsultam 2a ( 231 mg , 0.85 mmol ) and isobutyraldehyde gave a crude reaction mixture: HPLC (4:1) 7.2 (8.9), 9.4 (86.5), 13.6 (2.6). FC (hexane/EtOAc 4:1) and crystallization from hexane provided pure aldol 3 d ( $207 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ): $\operatorname{HPLC}(4: 1) 9.44 ; \mathrm{mp} 113-114^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-83.7^{\circ}(c 0.77) . O$-TBDMS derivative 7d: crystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH}, 73 \%$; GC(A) 20.50; mp 173-174 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Via in Situ Prepared Et $\mathbf{2}_{2}$ BOTf. Propionylsultam 2a ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37$ mmol ) and isobutyraldehyde ( $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$, then $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40 \mathrm{~min}$ ) gave a crude reaction mixture: HPLC (6:1) 15.4 (97.3), 26.3 (2.7). FC (hexane/EtOAc 6:1 $\rightarrow 3: 1$ ) and crystallization ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexane) afforded pure aldol 3 d ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ), identical with the above-described sample of 3 d .
$\boldsymbol{N}-[(E)-(2 R, 3 S)-2-M e t h y l-3-h y d r o x y-4-h e x e n o y l] b o r n a n e-10,2$-sultam (3e). Using Freshly Distilled Bu, BOTf. Propionylsultam 2a ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36$ mmol) and ( $E$ )-crotonaldehyde gave a crude reaction mixture: HPLC (4:1) 5.2 (20.6), 8.3 (76.5). FC (hexane/EtOAc 4:1) and crystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexane $)$ provided the pure aldol $3 \mathrm{e}(66.0 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%)$ : HPLC (4:1) 8.31; mp 118-119 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-93.6^{\circ}(c 0.77)$. O-TBDMS derivative 7e: crystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH}, 86 \% ; \mathrm{GC}(\mathrm{A}) 19.79 ; \mathrm{mp} 110-111^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[(2R,3R)-2-Methyl-3-hydroxy-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)propanoyl]-bornane-10,2-sultam (3f). Using Freshly Distilled $\mathrm{Bu}_{2}$ BOTf. Propionylsultam $2 \mathbf{2 a}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anisaldehyde gave a crude reaction mixture: HPLC (4:1) $6.8(>2), 16.5(95), 21.1$ (2.4). FC (hexane/EtOAc 3:1) and crystallization ( MeOH ) afforded pure aldol 3 f ( 72 $\mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ ): $\operatorname{HPLC}(4: 1) 16.99 ; \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{153-155}^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-93.2^{\circ}(c 0.86)$. O-TBDMS derivative 7f: crystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH}, 85 \%$; $\mathrm{GC}(\mathrm{A}) 25.51$; mp 96-98 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[(2R,3R)-2-(Hydroxybenzyl)butanoyl]bornane-10,2-sultam (3g). Using Freshly Distilled $\mathrm{Bu}_{2}$ BOTf. Butanoylsultam $\mathbf{2 g}$ ( $268 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94$ mmol) and benzaldehyde gave a crude reaction mixture: HPLC (4:1) 6.6 (2), 9.7 (2.4), 11.2 (93.7), which was crystallized $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexane $)$ to furnish pure aldol 3 g ( $257 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ): HPLC (4:1) 11.85 ; mp 219-220 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-86.6^{\circ}(c 0.716) . O$-TBDMS derivative 7 g : crystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH}, 82 \%$; $\mathrm{GC}(\mathrm{A}) 23.13$; mp $170-172{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}-[(2 R, 3 S)$-2-Ethyl-3-hydroxybutanoyl]bornane-10,2-sultam (3h). Using Freshly Distilled Bu $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ BOTf. Butanoylsultam $\mathbf{2 g}$ ( $104 \mathrm{mg}, 0.365$ mmol) and acetaldehyde gave a crude reaction mixture: HPLC (4:1) 8.7
(6), 9.9 (3.3), 10.3 (2.1), 15.4 (88.5). FC (hexane/EtOAc 3:1) and crystallization (MeOH) provided pure aldol $3 \mathrm{~h}(77.6 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ): HPLC ( $4: 1$ hexane $/$ EtOAc, $1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $14.98 ; \mathrm{mp} 166^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-118.49^{\circ}$ (c 0.384). O-TBDMS derivative 7 h: crystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH}, 53 \%$; $\mathrm{GC}(\mathrm{A})$ 19.84: $\mathrm{mp} 170-172{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Via in Situ Prepared Et $\mathbf{2}$ BOTf. Butanoylsultam $\mathbf{2 g}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35$ mmol ) and acetaldehyde gave a crude reaction mixture: HPLC (4:1) 4.2 (5.7). 9.7 (3.7). 14.8 (90.6). FC (hexane/EtOAc 4:1) and crystallization ( MeOH ) afforded pure aldol $\mathbf{3 h}$ ( $94 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ); HPLC ( $4: 1$ ) $14.8 ; \mathrm{mp}$ $166-167^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, identical with the above-described sample of $\mathbf{3 h}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$ - $(\mathbf{2 R}, 3 S)$-2-Ethyl-3-hydroxy-4-methylpentanoyl $]$ bornane-10, 2 -sultam (3i). Using Freshly Distilled Bu2BOTf. Butanoylsultam $\mathbf{2 g}$ ( 52 mg , 0.185 mmol ) and isobutyraldehyde gave a crude reaction mixture; HPLC (4:1) 9.6 (97.6), 12.9 (1.08); FC (hexane/EtOAc 4:1) and crystallization ( MeOH ) afforded pure aldol 3 i ( $43.2 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ); HPLC ( $4: 1$ ) 8.77 ; mp $159-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-143.8^{\circ}(c=0.32)$ : $O$-TBDMS derivative 7i: crystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH}, 77 \% \mathrm{GC}(\mathrm{A}) 20.85$; mp $140-142^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}-[(2 R, 3 R)$-2-(Hydroxybenzyl)hexanoyl]bornane-10,2-sultam (3j). Using Freshly Distilled $\mathrm{Bu}_{2}$ BOTf. Hexanoylsultam $\mathbf{2 j}$ ( $101 \mathrm{mg}, 0.323$ mmol ) and benzaldehyde ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) furnished a crude reaction mixture, HPLC (5:1) 6.9 (17.1), 12.8 (80.8), which was crystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexane to give pure aldol 3 j ( $86.0 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ): HPLC ( $5: 1$ ) 12.74 ; $\mathrm{mp} 148-149^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-89.2^{\circ}(c 0.62)$. O-TBDMS derivative 7 j : viscous oil, (81\%); GC(A) 24.33.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Study of Boron Enolate 16, $\mathbf{M L}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{BBu}_{2} . \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{BOTf}(1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of $N$-propionylsultam 2a ( $25.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring $\left(-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~min}\right), \mathrm{Et}(i \mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{~N}(17 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the solution was transferred via a canula into a NMR tube which was subsequently sealed under nitrogen: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 200\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz},-8.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, signals of interest) $3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10)$ protons $), 3.80(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.5, \mathrm{C}(2)$ proton $), 4.39(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8,1 \mathrm{H}$, vinyl proton $)$.

Lithium-Mediated Aldolizations. A solution of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.6 \mathrm{M}$, hexane, 1.1 mol equiv) was added over 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of the appropriate $N$-acylsultam $\mathbf{2}$ in THF ( 5 mL ). After stirring the resulting lithium enolate solution at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , freshly distilled aldehyde (2.0-5.0 mol equiv) was added. Stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , addition of $10 \%$ aqueous citric acid, extraction ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), drying ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and evaporation of the extracts gave the crude product mixture which was analyzed by HPLC. Subsequent FC and crystallization provided the pure syn aldol 5.

Tin(1V)-Mediated Aldolizations. Freshly distilled $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl}(1.2 \mathrm{~mol}$ equiv) was added to the solution of the above-described lithium enolate at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Stirring the mixture for 1 h , addition of the aldehyde, further stirring for 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by workup gave crude aldol 5 , analyzed and purified as described above.
$\boldsymbol{N}-[(2 S, 3 R)$-2-Methyl-3-hydroxy-3-phenylpropanoyl]bornane-10,2sultam (5a). A: Lithium-Mediated Aldolization. $\boldsymbol{N}$-Propanoylsultam 2a ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated, as described above, with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.6$ M hexane, $630 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). Addition of benzaldehyde ( $140 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to the resulting lithium enolate solution gave a crude reaction mixture: HPLC (4:1) 10.47 (15.2), 12.37 (75.7), 29.8 (9). FC (hexane/EtOAc 4:1) followed by crystallization ( MeOH ) afforded pure aldol $5 \mathrm{5a}$ ( 190 mg , 55\%): HPLC (6:1) 23.05 (98); mp 77.5-79 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

B: Tin(1V)-Mediated Aldolization. Successive treatment of the above-mentioned lithium enolate with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl}(300 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzaldehyde gave a crude product mixture: HPLC (6:1) 12.77 (14.8), 17.51 (85.2). FC (hexane/EtOAc 4:1) followed by crystallization ( MeOH ) provided pure aldol 5 a ( $233 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ): HPLC ( $6: 1$ ) 23.25 ( $>99$ ); $\mathrm{mp}=78-79^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-23.07^{\circ}(c 1.04)$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[(2S,3R)-3-Hydroxy-2,4-dimethylpentanoyl]bornane-10,2-sultam (5d). $N$-Propanoylsultam ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was converted to its tin(IV) enolate as described above. Addition of isobutyraldehyde ( 168 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another 1 h , followed by workup, gave a crude reaction mixture containing auxiliary 1 ( $11 \%$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR): HPLC (6:1) 17.13 (2.5), 18.12 (12.6), 28.37 (84.8). FC (hexane/EtOAc 4:1) followed by crystallization furnished pure aldol 5d ( $138 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ ): HPLC (4:1) 20.96 ( $>99 \%$ ): mp $128-129{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-61^{\circ}\left(c 0.09, T=22.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
[(2S,3R)-3-Hydroxy-2-methylhexanoyl]bornane-10,2-sultam (5k). $N$-Propanoylsultam ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was converted to its tin(IV) enolate as described above. Treatment with $n$-butyraldehyde ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 5.5 mmol ) and workup gave a crude product mixture: HPLC (6:1) 24.87 (78.5). FC (hexane/EtOAc 6:1) afforded pure aldol 5k: oil ( 168 mg , $53 \%)$; $\mathrm{HPLC}(6: 1) 23.89(100) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-48^{\circ}(c \mid .52)$.
$N-[(2 S, 3 R)-2$-Methyl-3-hydroxy-(E)-4hexenoyl] Bornane-10,2-sultam (5e). $N$-Propanoylsultam 2 a ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was converted to its tin(IV) enolate as described above. Treatment with ( $E$ )-crotonaldehyde $(155 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ and workup gave a crude reaction mixture: HPLC (6:1) 21.3 (30), 26.1 (5), 31.3 (64.5). FC (hexane/EtOAc 6:1) yielded

Table $V$. Aldolization $\mathbf{2 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{3 a} / \mathbf{4 a} / \mathbf{5 a} / \mathbf{6 a}$ : Effect of Enolate Counterion

| transmetalation conditions |  |  | metal | product ratio$3 a / 5 a / 4 a+6 a$ | yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| metal halide | mol equiv | temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  |  |  |
| none | 0 |  | Li | 5:91:4 | 53 |
| $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl}$ | 1.0 | 0 | Sn (IV) | 9:89:2 | 64 |
| $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ | 1.0 | 0 | Zn | 17:52:31(28:3) | 56 |
| EtAlCl 2 | 1.0 | -40 | AI | 36:41:23(22:1) | 88 |
| $\mathrm{EtAlCl}{ }_{2}$ | 2.0 | -78 | Al | 33:34:33 |  |
| $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$ | 3.0 | -40 | Al | 15:35:50(49:1) | 82 |
| $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3}$ | 1.25 | -78 | Ce | 17:83:0 | 70 |
| $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrCl}_{2}$ | 1.1 | 0 | Zr | complex mixture |  |

a less polar anti aldol: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 4.13 ( $\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 .1 \mathrm{H}$ ). Crystallization of the major fraction (hexane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) yielded pure aldol $5 \mathrm{e}(139 \mathrm{mg}$, $44 \%$ ) $\mathrm{mp} 140-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \operatorname{HPLC}(6: 1) 29.1(>99) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-59^{\circ}(c 1.47$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[(2S,3S)-2-(Hydroxybenzyl) butanoyl]bornane-10,2-sultam (5g). A: Lithium-Mediated Aldolization. $N$-Butanoylsultam 2 g ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.87$ mmol ) was treated, as described above, with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(600 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.96$ mmol ). Addition of benzaldehyde ( $185 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to the resulting lithium enolate solution gave a crude reaction mixture: HPLC (6:1) 13.48 (5.6), 16.81 (94.4). FC (hexane/EtOAc 6:1) gave a less polar anti isomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 4.81 (q, $J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}$ ). A sample of the combined, more polar fractions was converted to the $O$-TBDMS ethers: $G C(A)$ 22.8 (7), 23.2 (93). Crystallization ( MeOH ), afforded pure aldol 5 g ( 199 $\mathrm{mg}, 58.5 \%$ ): mp $129-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HPLC (6:1) 18.19 ( $>99 \%$ ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $-32.7^{\circ}(c 0.96)$.

B: Tin(1V)-Mediated Aldolization. Successive treatment of the above-mentioned lithium enolate with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl}(280 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzaldehyde gave a crude product mixture: HPLC (6:1) 13.3 (9.2), 16.5 (90.8). After removal of the less polar anti isomer by FC (hexane/EtOAc 6:1) a sample was converted to the $O$-TBDMS ethers: GC. (A) 22.8 (11.3), 23.1 (88.7). Crystallization ( MeOH ) furnished pure aldol 5 g ( $217 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ) identical with a sample prepared via the lithi-um-mediated aldolization.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[(2S,3R)-2-Ethyl-3-hydroxy-4-methylpentanoyl]bornane-10,2-sul$\boldsymbol{t a m}(5 i) . N$-Butanoylsultam $\mathbf{2 g}$ ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was converted to its $\operatorname{tin}($ IV) enolate as described above. Treatment with isobutyraldehyde ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and workup gave a crude product mixture: HPLC (6:1) 14.79 (16.7), 28.32 (82:2). FC (hexane/EtOAc 6:1) gave a less polar anti aldol: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $3.13(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H})$. Crystallization (hexane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) of the combined, more polar fractions yielded pure aldol 5 i ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 46.5 \%$ ): mp 165-166 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC (6:1) 16.95 ( $>99 \%$ ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-61.8^{\circ}(c 1.31)$.
$N \cdot[(2 S, 3 R)$-2-Ethyl-3-hydroxy-( $E$ )-4-hexenoyl]bornane-10,2-sultam (51). $N$-Butanoylsultam $2 \mathrm{~g}(400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated successively with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(965 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl}(455 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol})$, and ( $E$ )-crotonaldehyde ( $234 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ): HPLC of crude product ( $6: 1$ ) $15.75(28.5), 18.18$ (2), $21.81(66), 27.22$ (3.16). FC (hexane/EtOAc 6:1) followed by crystallization (hexane/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) gave pure aldol 51 ( 154 $\mathrm{mg}, 31 \%$ ); mp 130.5-131.5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC (6:1) 20.19 (100).

Aldolization 2a $\rightarrow \mathbf{3 a} / \mathbf{4 a} / \mathbf{5 a} / \mathbf{6 a}$ : Effect of Enolate Counterion. A 2 M solution of propionylsultam 2 a ( 1 mol equiv) was added dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a freshly prepared solution of lithium cyclohexylisopropylamide (LICA, THF, 1.05 mol equiv). The resulting solution of lithium enolate was treated first with $0-3$ mol equiv of a metal halide at -78 to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then with benzaldehyde ( 5 mol equiv) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 20 min at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \%$ aqueous citric acid was added to give, after workup, mixtures 3a/4a/5a/6a which were analyzed by HPLC (Table V).
$N$-Ethylpiperidine ( 1.2 mol equiv) was added dropwise to a stirred mixture of Sn (OTf) $\mathbf{2}_{2}$ ( 1.2 mol equiv) and propanoylsultam 2 a ( 1.0 mol equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After addition of benzaldehyde ( 2.4 mol equiv) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Workup and HPLC analysis showed unchanged 2a and no $3 a / 4 a / 5 a / 6 a$ products.

Nondestructive Cleavage of Aldol Sultams. Hydroperoxide-Assisted Saponification/Esterification. General Procedure. Aqueous (30\%) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( 2.4 mol equiv) and $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 1.2 mol equiv) were added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of sultam aldol 3 or 5 ( 1.0 mol equiv) in THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4: 1,0.15$ $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). Stirring of the mixture at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3-10 \mathrm{~h}$, addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, acidification with 1 N HCl , saturation with NaCl , extraction with ether, drying, and evaporation of the extracts gave an oily residue which was treated with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ (excess). Evaporation of the solution and crystallization of the residue (hexane/ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 4: 1$ ) gave recovered auxiliary 1. FC (hexane/ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 2: 1$ ) of the mother liquor provided pure $\beta$-hydroxy ester.
(2R,3R)-Methyl 2-Methyl-3-hydroxy-3-phenylpropanoate (12a). Applying the general saponification/esterification procedure, $3 a$ ( 130 mg , 0.345 mmol ) gave auxiliary $1(67.4 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%)$ and hydroxy ester 12 a $(55.4 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%): G C(B) 11.01 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+23.5^{\circ}(c 3.23) ;$ lit. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}}[\mathrm{a}]_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $+23.2^{\circ},\left(c 3.2, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
(2R,3S)-Methyl 2-Methyl-3-hydroxybutyrate (12b). On applying the general saponification procedure, but modifying the workup (addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, then NaCl , extraction with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ), 3b ( 175 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave auxiliary 1 ( $112.9 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ). Acidification of the aqueous phase, extraction, evaporation, and esterification with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ provided hydroxy ester $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ ( $52.0 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ): GC ( $75^{\circ}$, isotherm) 1.38 ; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-13.5^{\circ}(c 0.867, \mathrm{MeOH})$; lit. (antipode) $)^{2 \mathrm{la}}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+14.3^{\circ}$, c 5.0 , $\mathrm{MeOH}, T=20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
(2R,3S)-Methyl 2,4-Dimethyl-3-hydroxypentanoate (12d). Applying the general saponification/esterification procedure, 3 d ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35$ mmol ) gave auxiliary $1(67.7 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%)$ and hydroxy ester $12 \mathrm{~d}(54.3 \mathrm{mg}$, $84 \%$ ): GC(B) 1.59; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+7.50^{\circ}$ (c 2.51); lit. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+7.7^{\circ}$, (c 5.4, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, T=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )
(2R,3R)-Methyl 2-Ethyl-3-hydroxy-3-phenylpropanoate (12g). Applying the general saponification/esterification procedure, 3 a ( 125 mg , 0.32 mmol ) gave auxiliary $\mathbf{1}(61.6 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ) and hydroxy ester $\mathbf{1 2 g}(50.2$ $\mathrm{mg}, 76 \%): \mathrm{GC}(\mathrm{B}) 15.20 .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+13.5^{\circ},[\alpha]_{578}=+14.45^{\circ}(c \mathrm{l} .55)$; lit. ${ }^{6 \mathrm{c}}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+12.0^{\circ}, c 1.58, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 96 \%$ ee $)$.
(2S,3S)-Methyl 2-Methyl-3-hydroxy-3-phenylpropanaote (13a). Applying the general saponification/esterification procedure, 5 ( 65 mg , 0.17 mmol ) gave auxiliary $1(34.1 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%)$ and hydroxy ester 13a ( 25.4 $\mathrm{mg}, 76 \%):[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-20.8^{\circ},[\alpha]_{578}=-21.7^{\circ},[\alpha]_{546}=-24.1^{\circ},[\alpha]_{436}=$ $-38.4^{\circ},[\alpha]_{365}=-52.5^{\circ}\left(c\right.$ 1.3). IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and MS are identical with those of $\mathbf{1 2 a}$.
(2S,3R)-Methyl 2,4-Dimethyl-3-hydroxypentanoate (13d). Applying the general saponification/esterification procedure, $5 \mathbf{d}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29$ mmol ) gave auxiliary $1(56.5 \mathrm{mg}, 90.6 \%$ ) and hydroxy ester 13 d ( 42 mg , $90.5 \%):[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-7.14^{\circ},[\alpha]_{578}=-7.47^{\circ},[\alpha]_{546}=-8.40^{\circ},[\alpha]_{436}=$ $-8.99^{\circ},[\alpha]_{365}=-19.32^{\circ}(c=1.19)$. IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and MS identical with those of $\mathbf{1 2 d}$.
(2S,3R)-Methyl 2-Methyl-3-hydroxyhexanoate (13k). Applying the general saponification/esterification procedure, $5 \mathbf{k}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave auxiliary 1 ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ) and hydroxy ester 13 k ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+12.05^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} \quad 1.92, T=25.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ).
(2S,3R)-Methyl 2-Methyl-3-hydroxy-(E)-4-hexenoate (13e). Applying the general saponification/esterification procedure, $5 \mathrm{e}(100 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.29 mmol ) gave auxiliary $1(56.5 \mathrm{mg}, 90.5 \%$ ) and hydroxy ester 13 e ( 36 $\mathrm{mg}, 85.5 \%): \mathrm{GC}(\mathrm{B}) 1.66 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+11.52^{\circ}\left(c 0.82, T=25.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
(2S,3S)-Methyl 2-Ethyl-3-hydroxy-3-phenylpropanoate (13g). Applying the general saponification/esterification procedure, 5 g ( 100 mg , 0.25 mmol ) gave auxiliary $1(50.05 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ) and hydroxy ester 13 g ( 43 $\mathrm{mg}, 82.5 \%$ ): $\mathrm{GC}(\mathrm{B}) 5.08 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-12.99^{\circ}[\alpha]_{578}=-13.46^{\circ},[\alpha]_{546}=$ $-15.19^{\circ},[\alpha]_{436}=-19.71^{\circ} .[\alpha]_{365}=-22.30^{\circ}(c$ l.04 $)$ IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and MS identical with those of antipode $12 f$.
(2S,3R)-Methyl 2-Ethyl-4-methyl-3-hydroxypentanoate (13i). Applying the general saponification/esterification procedure, 5 i ( 120 mg , 0.33 mmol ) gave auxiliary $1(66 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%)$ and hydroxy ester 13 i ( 52.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 91.5 \%$ ): GC(B) $1.85 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-7.4^{\circ}(c 0.97)$; lit. (antipode) ${ }^{6 c}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ $=+7.6^{\circ}$
(2S,3R)-Methyl 2-Ethyl-3-hydroxy-4-hexenoate (131). Applying the general saponification/esterification procedure, 51 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave auxiliary 1 ( $56.5 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ) and hydroxy ester 131 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-7.1^{\circ}(c 0.92)$.

Reductive Cleavage. General Procedure. A solution of the aldol product 3 ( 1.0 mol equiv) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 3,4 \mathrm{~mL} / 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ ( 2.5 mol equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 1.0 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Stirring ( $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ ), addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, extraction with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, drying ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), concentration, and FC ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, hexane/ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, then $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) gave the recovered sultam 1 and the respective, optically pure 1,3 -diol 11 .
( $1 R, 2 S$ )-1-Phenyl-2-methylpropane-1,3-diol (11a). Applying the general reduction procedure, $3 \mathrm{a}(130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave auxiliary 1 ( $64.8 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) and diol $11 \mathrm{a}(43.0 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%)$, crystallized from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ / hexane: $\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{75-76}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{GC}(\mathrm{B}) 12.68 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+57.8^{\circ}(c 0.45)$.
(2S,3S)-2-Methylbutane-1,3-diol (11b). Applying the general reduction procedure, $\mathbf{3 b}$ ( $128 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave auxiliary $1(78.2 \mathrm{mg}$, $90 \%$ ) and diol 11 b , viscous oil ( $33.1 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ): GC(B) $1.42 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $+5.97^{\circ}$ ( $c 0.32$ ).
(2S,3S)-2,4-Dimethylpentane-1,3-diol (11d). Applying the general reduction procedure, $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{d}$ ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave auxiliary $\mathbf{1}(75.3 \mathrm{mg}$,
$93 \%$ ) and diol $11 \mathrm{~d}(45.2 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%)$, crystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{mp} 83^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{GC}(\mathrm{B}) 1.57 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+9.2^{\circ}(c 0.7 \mathrm{l}) ;$ lit. ${ }^{2 \mathrm{bb}}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+11.3^{\circ}\left(c 0.6, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$, $\left.T=20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
(2S,3S)-3-((tert-Buty|dimethylsilyl)oxy)-2-methylpentan-1-ol (14). Diisobutylaluminum hydride ( 1 M ) (hexane 0.643 mL ) was added over 10 min to a solution of $7 \mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.214 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Stirring of the reaction mixture at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , quenching with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirring for 5 min , extraction with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, drying ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and evaporation gave a residue. Trituration with pentane provided insoluble solid sultam $1(44 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%)$ and a solution which on FC (hexane/EtOAc 8:1) and bulb-to-bulb distillation ( $110-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, bath, 1.5 Torr) provided serricornin precursor $14(25 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%)$, oil: GC $70^{\circ}$, $3 \mathrm{~min}, 30^{\circ} / \mathrm{min} \rightarrow 260^{\circ}, 5.71 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-4.8^{\circ}\left(c 0.883, T=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$; lit. ${ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ $[\alpha]_{D}=-3.5^{\circ}(c 1.98)$.

Preparation of Mixtures of Sultam Aldols 3/4/5/6 and Their $O$ TBDMS Ethers $7 / 8 / 9 / 10$. A solution of diisopropylamine ( 2.0 mol equiv) in THF ( $1.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with $n$-butyllithium ( 1.6 M in hexane, 2.0 mol equiv), allowed to warm to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~min})$, and subsequently cooled to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of propionic acid, butanoic acid, or hexanoic acid ( 1.0 mol equiv) in THF ( 0.5 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise, and stirring was continued $\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{I}\right.$ h) whereupon a white precipitate formed. Upon cooling to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the appropriate aldehyde ( 1 mol equiv) was added, and stirring was continued until the white precipitate had disappeared ( 20 min ). Addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 5 mL ), removal of THF, further addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and extraction ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), followed by acidification of the aqueous phase ( 2 N $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), extraction ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), and drying $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ gave mixtures of racemic syn and anti $\beta$-hydroxy acids.

A solution of this mixture in DMF ( $3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with imidazole ( 4.0 mol equiv) and $t \mathrm{BuMe}_{2} \mathrm{SiCl}$ ( 2.0 mol equiv). After stirring (room temperature, 16 h ) the reaction mixture was poured onto water and extracted (hexane). The combined organic phases were washed (saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ), dried, evaporated, and distilled to give mixtures of racemic syn- and anti-3-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-oxy)-tert-butyldimethylsilyl esters.

A solution of this mixture ( 1.0 mol equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with DMF ( 2 drops) followed by oxaloyl chloride ( 1.1 mol equiv). After stirring ( $3 \mathrm{~h}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), evaporation and bulb-to-bulb distillation gave the corresponding mixture of racemic syn- and anti-3-OTBDMS acid chlorides.

A suspension of NaH ( $55 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, 1.5 mol equiv) in toluene ( $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) was treated with a solution of sultam $1(1.0 \mathrm{~mol}$ equiv) in toluene ( $38 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and stirred ( $2 \mathrm{~h}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Adding a solution of the above-described acid chloride stereoisomer mixture ( 1.0 mol equiv in toluene) and stirring for 16 h followed by workup gave a mixture of $O$-TBDMS derivatives $7 / 8 / 9 / 10$ which showed the following GC data: 7a-10a(A) 22.3 (23), 22.8 (20), 22.9 (22), 23 (26); 7b-10b(A) 18.6 (17), 18.8 (21), 19.23 (44); 7d-10d(A) 20.0 (39), 20.2 (40), 20.5 (11), 20.6 (11); $\mathbf{7 g}-10 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{E}) 18.3$ (29), 19.6 (11), 18.95 (55). $7 \mathrm{~h}-10 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~A})$ 19.4 (52), 19.7 (25), 19.8 (19). For comparison the corresponding sample was coinjected with one of these stereoisomer mixtures.

Aqueous ( $40 \%$ ) HF ( 2.0 mol equiv) was added dropwise to a cooled solution of the corresponding mixture 7/8/9/10 ( 1.0 mol equiv) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(33 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$. Stirring at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h and aqueous workup provided a mixture of sultam aldols $3 / 4 / 5 / 6$ which showed the following HPLC data: 3a-6a (4:1) 11.5 (58), 14.1 (31), 36.3 (11); 3b-6b (4:1, 1.5 $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}\right) 14.4(25), 15.8(26), 16.6(30), 27$ (19); 3d-6d (6:1) 16.0 (11), 17.1 (56), 27.3 (12), 29 (20); 3g-6g (5:1) 10.5 (28), 12.4 (11), 12.8 (11) $>27$ (~28); 3h-6h (4:1) 13.3 (21), 13.7 (35), 20.1 (20), 26.1 (24). For comparison the corresponding sample was coinjected with one of these stereoisomer mixtures.
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